## Canada East — Viewpoints

Drawn from R.C. Brown & M.E. Prang (Eds.), Confederation to 1949 (Prentice, 1966); J.M. Bliss (Ed.), Canadian History in Documents (Ryerson, 1966); H.H. Herstein, L.S. Hughes & R.C. Kirbyson, Challenge and Survival: The History of Canada (Prentice, 1970); J.S. Reid, K. McNaught & H.S. Crowe, A Source-Book of Canadian History (Longmans, 1959) and P.B. Waite, Confederation, 1854-1867 (Holt, 1972).

### 1. Thomas D'Arcy McGee's vision of a new Northern Nation (1860)

I have spoken with a sole single desire for the increase, prosperity, freedom and honour of this incipient Northern Nation. I call it a Northern Nation—for such it must become, if all of us do our duty to the last . . . I see in the not remote distance one great nationality, bound, like the shield of Achilles, by the blue rim of Ocean. I see it quartered into many communities, each disposing of its internal affairs, but all bound together by free institutions, free intercourse and free commerce. I see within the round of that shield the peaks of the Western Mountains and the crests of the Eastern waves, the winding Assiniboine, the five-fold lakes, the St. Lawrence, the Ottawa, the Saguenay, the St. John, and the basin of the Minas. By all these flowing waters in all the valleys they fertilize, in all the cities they visit in their courses, I see a generation of industrious, contented, moral men, free in name and in fact—men capable of maintaining, in peace and in war, a constitution worthy of such a country!

#### 2. French Canadian distrust of Confederation

(L'Ordre Montreal, 4 May 1860); translation

What French Canadian has not in his heart cursed a hundred times the Union of the two Canadas? . . . Others have wanted in turn to *anglicize* us and *protestantize* us: after a century of ignoble hopes and base efforts, convinced of their failure, they now want to destroy our constitution. . . . What would Upper Canada be today without the Union? Nothing more or less than a forest put up for auction by British capitalists to repay their investments. The only solution is repeal of the Union. Upper Canada does not like living with us: we like it less.

#### 3. Provincial rights in the Union

(Le Courrier du Canada Quebec, 10 October 1864); translation

Let us give to each province its own distinct autonomy, let each province be master in its own house in matters of social organization, ownership of public property, preservation of its language, laws and institutions, while protecting minorities everywhere, and let us unite all parts into a federal agreement covering matters in which a common defense and common interests see us all joined on the same ground.

#### 4. George-Etienne Cartier

(Canadian Parliament, Winter 1865)

(I)f union were attained, we would form a political nationality with which neither the national origin, nor the religion of any individual, would interfere . . . with regard to the objection based on this fact, to the effect that a great nation could not be formed because Lower Canada was in great part French and Catholic, and Upper Canada British and Protestant, and the Lower Provinces were mixed, it was futile and worthless in the extreme. Look, for instance, at the United Kingdom, inhabited as it was by three great races. Had the diversity of race impeded the glory, the progress, the wealth of England? Had they not rather contributed their share to the greatness of the Empire? . . . In our own Federation we should have Catholic and Protestant, English, French, Irish and

Scotch, and each by his efforts and his success would increase the prosperity and glory of the new Confederacy.

## Joseph Perrault

(Canadian Parliament, Winter 1865)

(W)ith Confederation, as we shall be in the great minority in the General Parliament, which as all the important powers in relation to legislation, we shall have to carry on a constant contest for the defence and preservation of our political rights and of our liberty.

## 6. D'Arcy McGee on the American threat

(Canadian Parliament, 9 February 1865)

These are frightful figures [U.S. military] for the capacity of destruction they represent, for the heaps of carnage that they represent, for the quantity of human blood spilt that they represent, for the lust of conquest that they represent, for the evil passion that they represent, and for the arrest of the onward progress of civilization that they represent. . . . They [the Americans] coveted Florida, and they seized it; they coveted Louisiana, and purchased it; they coveted Texas and stole it; and then they picked a quarrel with Mexico, which ended by their getting California. . . . had we not the strong arm of England over us, we would not now have had a separate existence.

## 7. Alexander T. Galt on the need for Intercolonial trade

(Canadian Parliament, 7 February 1865)

Intercolonial trade has been, indeed, of the most insignificant character; we have looked far more to our commercial relations with the neighbouring—though a foreign country—than to the interchange of our own products, which would have retained the benefits of our trade within ourselves; hostile tariffs have interfered with the free interchange of the products of the labour of all the colonies, and one of the greatest and most immediate benefits to be derived from their union, will spring from the breaking down of these barriers and the opening up of the markets of all the provinces to the different industries of each.

# 8. Hector L. Langevin on the fate of French Canadians in Confederation (Canadian Parliament, Winter 1865)

But what would be the fate of the French Canadians in the case of annexation to the United States? Let us profit by the example of the French race in the United States, and enquire what has been the fate of the French in Louisiana? What has become of them? What has become of their language, their customs, their manners and their institutions? After the war, hardly a trace will remain to show that the French race has passed that way . . . we live in peace at the present day and are perfectly comfortable; Catholics and Protestants have the same rights and religious liberty, and they live peacefully together as there was but one religion in the land.